Motion Regarding HR Design Language for Performance Management Submitted by Academic Staff Executive Committee (ASEC)

Motion (For Vote)

Be it resolved that the UW-Madison Academic Staff Assembly allows the Academic Personnel Office to use the language below in new HR Design documents.

Proposed Language

The draft language below is included in a draft policy on Performance Management (changes in red and strikeouts are changes from Document #522). Because it could violate the spirit of the motion that was passed in 2012, ASEC moved to bring this language to the Assembly. The current proposed language reads:

At a minimum, each program *must-needs to* include the following:

- 1. Expectations and goal-setting.
- 2. Informal conversations. Informal conversations do not need to be documented.
- 3. Mid-point conversation.
- 4. **Summary evaluation**. This conversation should, at a minimum, include a discussion of the following:
 - a. Whether the employee's performance met expectations
 - b. Whether the employee achieved annual goals
 - c. Professional development needs and opportunities
 - d. Options to develop additional skills and knowledge to foster career growth.

The expectations and goal-setting, mid-point and summary conversations must be documented. However, there is no prescribed format for this documentation. The documentation can range from a simple checklist signed by the supervisor and employee that ensures the discussion covers all of the necessary elements of a performance evaluation to a comprehensive written evaluation to a signed checklist that ensures the discussion covers all of the necessary elements of a performance evaluation.

Background

On November 12, 2012, the Academic Staff Assembly (Document # 490) passed the amendment below striking most of this text from the "Strategic Plan for a New UW-Madison Human Resource Center" (HR Design):

Strike all text after cycle. Please note the text that was stricken is underlined below.

The university will require that all units implement a standard performance management cycle <u>that</u> <u>includes the following as a minimum standard:</u> <u>-Setting goals that incorporate both work and employee development goals</u> <u>-Conducting a mid-year check-in with each employee</u> <u>-Providing a year-end written evaluation that assesses employee progress against agreed-upon goals</u> <u>and identifies developmental needs and opportunities</u>

These changes were incorporated in the plan, and the revised plan was submitted to the Board of Regents.